

TEMPLATE FOR COMMENTS ON ANNEX II OF RECOMMENDATION WG8(j)-11/2

Contact Information	
Surname:	Riddle, Caddie, Hudson, McClutchie
Given Name:	KatieLee, Manu, Maui, Josie
Government (if applicable):	
Organization:	Te Weu Charitable Trust
E-mail:	kriddle@waikato.ac.nz
Address:	6434 Waiapu Rd
City:	Ruatoria
Country:	Aotearoa New Zealand
Postal Code:	4081
Phone Number (including country code):	

Comments on the document			
Please provide any general comments:			
Development of protocols, outlines and guidelines should have indigenous peoples in leadership. “nothing about us without us”.			
Page #	Para #	Line #	Comment
1	1.1	8	Clarity needed on what ‘full and effective’ means in relation to Indigenous participation. Co-development or development by IPLC is most appropriate.
1	1.6	22	Will registration lead to regulation? What benefit does this hold directly for IPLC? Disclosure of indigenous practices may not be viewed lightly by IPLC, especially when some practices may be held as secret/sacred. What institutions will do with this information and for what purpose needs to be clear. If registration is to occur, a high level of trust in institutions will need to be held first.
1	1.6	26	Transmission of what and to who? If this means transmission of TK, Indigenous peoples usually already have mechanisms for this which is usually already appropriate for them, as well as respectful.
2	2.2	39	Will the inclusion of ICCAs into national networks of protected areas count towards the 30x30 initiative?
2	3.2	63	Non-monetary and monetary valorisation are separate, and it must be certain that these programmes are not extractive, or done without FPIC. must ensure that valorisation does not lead to extractive bioprospecting. Should also track IPLC provenance. Text adjustment recommendation: “promote and strengthen programmes aimed at tracking IPLC provenance and valorization of genetic resources associated with traditional knowledge”.
2	3.3	65	Must be developed by IPLC with IPLC and their values in mind. Also need provenance info in metadata with ability to show by native lands, and use of TK BC Labels for IPLC to be able to assert IDSov. FAIR and CARE should be utilized also. This allows for IPLC involvement in situations such as secondary use and commercialisation opportunities.
2	3.6	73	Platforms use and development should be driven by IPLC people and their needs. By doing this is also a good opportunity for capacity development.
3	4.1	80	Transmission is unlikely to occur unless IPLC has trust in the cultural safety of the mechanism.

3	4.4	91	'Mainstreaming' should not equate to open access to TK. Some TK is secret/sacred and not for everyone.
4	6.1	140	Full and effective participation in the work of the CBD is significantly and heavily impacted by the exclusionary nature of Friends of the Chair sessions. This excluded the IIFB and our Māori representative from the ability to take part in discussions. This is a disempowering practice that prevents IPLC from advocating for their own interests, and leaves the power within parties' hands to negotiate and make decisions on their behalf. Given the international histories of colonization, displacement, and inequities, this is inappropriate. IPLC are rights-holders, and should be positioned as such. "nothing about us without us".

Please submit your comments to secretariat@cbd.int or by fax at +1 514 288 6588